Results	Other terms	Real period	Future

Numerical verification of BSD

for hyperelliptics of genus 2 & 3, and beyond...

Raymond van Bommel (Universiteit Leiden)

PhD project under supervision of: David Holmes (Leiden) Fabien Pazuki (Bordeaux/Copenhagen)

7 September 2017



Resul	ts O	ther terms	Real period	Future
••••		000	00000	O
Outline				

In Magma the speaker implemented an algorithm to numerically verify BSD for the Jacobian J of an hyperelliptic curve C/\mathbb{Q} of higher genus, i.e. the algorithm calculates (up to squares)

- $\lim_{s \to 1} (s-1)^{-r} L(J,s)$,
- the real period P_J ,
- the regulator R_J,
- the Tamagawa numbers c_p, and
- the size of $J(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}$,

then it uses the BSD formula

$$\lim_{s\to 1} (s-1)^{-r} L(J,s) = \frac{P_J R_J \cdot |\mathrm{III}(J)| \cdot \prod_p c_p}{|J(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}}|^2}$$

to predict the size of III(J) (up to squares).



Results	Other terms	Real period	Future	
0●00	0000	00000	O	
List of results				

The algorithm numerically verified BSD for:

- all elliptic curves $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$ with $a, b \in \{-15, ..., 15\}$, comparing it with existing routines in Magma;
- most hyperelliptic curves of genus 2 with low conductor from the 'Empirical evidence' paper (Flynn et al., 2001), comparing it with the results from this paper;
- all 300 hyperelliptics $C: y^2 = x^5 + ax^4 + bx^3 + cx^2 + dx + e$ with $a, b, c, d, e \in \{-10, \ldots, 10\}$ and $\Delta(C) \le 10^5$, except for 30 examples;

• 29 hyperelliptics curves of genus 3 (verification up to squares) $C: y^2 = x^7 + ax^6 + bx^5 + cx^4 + dx^3 + ex^2 + fx + g$ with $a, b, c, d, e, f, g \in \{-3, ..., 3\}$ and $\Delta(C) \le 10^7$.

In all cases, except for the ones already considered by Flynn et al., the predicted order of III(J) is 1.



Results	Other terms	Real period	Future
00●0	0000	00000	O
List of exceptions			

The algorithm failed for

- several examples for which no regular model could be computed by Magma at some prime p of bad reduction; (might be resolved partially using the new method)
- for genus 3: some examples for which the conductor was big, which prolongs the calculation of the *L*-function and period;
- the curve $x^5 4x^4 + 8x^3 8x^2 + 4x 1$ for which the height code takes too long to excute;
- the curve x⁵ 3x⁴ + 6x³ 6x² + 4^x 1 for which the L-function code takes too long to execute.



Results	Other terms	Real period	Future
000●	0000	00000	0
Runtimes			

For the following curves

- H_1 : genus 2, rank 0, discriminant 5¹⁶ (from 'Empirical' paper)
- H₂: genus 2, rank 1, discriminant 62720
- H₃: genus 3, rank 1, discriminant -1523712

we recorded the following runtimes (in seconds):

	H_1	H_2	H ₃
$\lim_{s\to 1}(s-1)^{-r}L(J,s)$	8.930	7.520	173.5
ر period P	36.33	34.34	64.46
regulator R _j	0.930	142.6	294.23
Tamagawa numbers c _p	0.040	0.040	0.070
$ J(\mathbb{Q})_{\mathrm{tors}} $	0.130	0.010	N/A





For the algebraic rank:

- upper bounds: 2-Selmer groups
- lower bounds: point searching

For the *L*-function and conductor (due to Tim Dokchitser):

- most places: point counting to get local factor
- other places: guess using the functional equation

Problem: the runtime seems to increase quickly as the conductor increases.

Possible solution: use the methods of Sutherland.



 $\begin{array}{c|c} Results \\ 0000 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Other terms \\ 0 \bullet 00 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Real period \\ 00000 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Future \\ 0 \end{array}$

For the regulator:

- find generators for the free part of $J(\mathbb{Q})$
- calculate height pairing (due to Holmes and Müller)

Problem: the bound for the naive height is big. In practice, this might give rise to an error factor, which is a rational square.

Problem: the higher the genus gets, the harder it is enumerate all points of bounded height.





As seen in Morgan's course: calculate an explicit regular model and do the computations there.

The speaker's main contribution here is a Magma package that computes the Galois action on the geometric component group (which is already included in the RegularModel package), and uses this to compute the Tamagawa numbers.

This has been used to calculate Tamagawa numbers for all but 54 genus 2 curves in the LMFDB.





For the torsion:

- lower bounds: finding points
- upper bounds: counting points on reduction mod p

If the lower and upper bounds do not match, the error induced will be at worst a rational square.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで



For a standard basis $\frac{d_x}{y}, \frac{xd_x}{y}, \ldots, \frac{x^{g-1}d_x}{y}$ of the differentials, and for a symplectic basis $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{2g}$ of $H^1(J(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z})$ calculated by Magma, there is a Magma routine BigPeriodMatrix due to Van Wamelen that calculates the matrix

$$M = \left(\int_{\gamma_i} \frac{x^{j-1} dx}{y}\right)_{i=1,\dots,2g, j=1,\dots,g}.$$

The columns of $M + \overline{M}$ span a lattice inside \mathbb{R}^{g} . The covolume of this lattice is the real period, up to a certain *correction factor*.

The differential $\frac{dx}{y} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{x^{g-1}dx}{y}$ is not a Néron differential in general. To correct for this, we need to find how far it is away from being a Néron differential.



For odd primes of good reduction, it is alright, but for the other primes p we do the following calculation (cf. Flynn et al.):

- 1. we calculate a regular model $\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$;
- 2. for each $i = 0, \ldots, g 1$ and each irreducible component E of the special fibre $C_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, we check if $\frac{x^i dx}{y}$ has a pole on E and multiply by p if necessary;
- 3. for each linear combination $D = \sum_{i=0}^{g-1} c_i \frac{x^i dx}{y}$, with $c_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$ not all zero, and each component E of $C_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, we check if D vanishes on E. We adjust the basis, in case one such D vanishes on the whole special fibre.





Question: given a differential, regular on C/\mathbb{Q} , how to calculate its order of vanishing on components of the special fibre $C_{\mathbb{F}_p}$?

Answer: Classically, for smooth C/\mathbb{Q} , there is an isomorphism

$$\Omega^1_{J/\mathbb{Q}}(J) \cong \Omega^1_{C/\mathbb{Q}}(C).$$

Under mild conditions (e.g. $C(\mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$), this generalises to

$$\Omega^{1}_{\mathcal{J}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathcal{J}) \cong \omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathcal{C}),$$

where $\mathcal{J}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is a Néron model of the Jacobian, and $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$ is the canonical sheaf. Now our goal is to explicitly find generators of the $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -module $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathcal{C})$.

 $\begin{array}{c|c} Results \\ 0000 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Other terms \\ 0000 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Real period \\ 000 \bullet 0 \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Future \\ 0 \end{array}$ How to calculate the real period P_1 ? (4/5)

We know that $\omega_{\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}} \mathbb{Q} \cong \Omega^{1}_{\mathcal{C}/\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{C})$, but how do we make this explicit?

Proposition

Let $C/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ be an affine curve, given inside \mathbb{A}^n by the equations $f_2 = f_3 = \ldots = f_n = 0$. Suppose that C_η/\mathbb{Q} is smooth and that $\tau = g \cdot dx_1$ is a regular differential on C_η .

Then in the canonical sheaf $\omega_{C/\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}}$, the differential τ corresponds to a regular differential if and only if

$$\det\left(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}\right)_{i,j=2}^n \cdot g$$

is regular in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{C}}$.



This should not be confused with the sheaf of relative differentials.

Example: let p > 2 and $C : f := y^2 - p(x^3 + 1) = 0$ and $\tau = \frac{dx}{y}$.

At first sight, it might seem that τ has a pole at the special fibre p = 0. However, inside the canonical sheaf, τ is

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \cdot \frac{1}{y} = 2$$

times a generator. As p > 2, this is a unit, and τ does not have a pole at the special fibre.



	Results	Other terms	Real period	Future
	0000	0000	00000	•
Ideas for	r the future			

In the future, we hope to extend these methods to numerically verify (possibly up to squares) BSD for some smooth plane quartics.

Moreover, the algrithm could likely be improved drastically by using the new algorithm for the regular model by Dokchitser et al., and using the new method by Sutherland for the *L*-function.

